

# 5-COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION STUDY


DOUGLAS • JOHNSON • LEAVENWORTH • MIAMI • WYANDOTTE

## Phase 2 Final Report

April 8, 2013



Submitted to:



Submitted by:



---

# **5-County Regional Transportation Study Phase 2 Final Report**

**April 8, 2013**

KDOT Project Number: 106 KA-1277-02

Prepared for  
Kansas Department of Transportation  
Mid-America Regional Council

Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization

Prepared by  
Parsons Brinckerhoff  
in association with  
Olsson Associates  
Shockey Consulting

---

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	
<b>Executive Summary</b>	
<b>Section 1: Introducing the 5-County Regional Transportation Study</b>	<b>1</b>
Why Is The Study Needed?	1
Purpose	1
Study Sponsors	1
The 5-County Study Process	2
<b>Section 2: Regional Challenges</b>	<b>5</b>
Challenge to Providing an Efficient, Reliable Roadway System	6
Challenge to Providing a Transportation System That Maximizes Traveler Safety	7
Challenge to Supporting Continued Economic Growth	8
Challenge to Using Funding Resources Efficiently	9
Challenge to Developing a Multimodal Transportation System That Provides Mode Choice	9
Challenge For the Environment	10
Challenge to Providing a Transportation System That Improves Public Health	11
Challenge to Maintain Social Equity	11
Challenge to Balance Mobility Goals With Community Goals	11
<b>Section 3: Regional Changes</b>	<b>13</b>
Population and Development Patterns	13
Demographic Changes	13
Emphasis on Sustainability	13
Increased Travel Demand In the 5-County Region	14
Increase in Truck Traffic	14
Changes in Land Use	14
Changes in Transportation Funding	14
Changes in Vehicle Technology	15
Changes in Vehicle Fuel Mileage	15
Growth in Alternative Commute Options	15
Next Generation of Transportation Users	16
Management of Traffic on Major Roads	16
<b>Section 4: Roadways</b>	<b>17</b>
Multimodal Use of Roads	17
Key Corridors	17
Future Outlook - Roadways	18
Peaking Characteristics of Highways	19
Historical Case Studies: What Happens to Traffic Volumes When a Freeway is Widened?	21
Public Satisfaction With Roadways	21
Lane-Miles Per Capita	22
Cost of Construction	22
Cost of Maintenance	22
Available Funding	24
<b>Section 5: Freight</b>	<b>25</b>
Railroads	25
Intermodal Freight Rail Growth in Kansas	26
Impact of BNSF Intermodal Facility	27
Vertical Clearance Issues for Wind Turbine Components	27
Trucking	27
Increase in Air Cargo	28
<b>Section 6: Transit</b>	<b>29</b>
Current Transit System	29
Public Satisfaction with Transit Service in the 5-County Region	30
Regional Planning	31
How Do You Pay For Long Term Transit Costs?	32
<b>Section 7: Active Transportation</b>	<b>33</b>
Public Satisfaction With the Availability of Bicycle Lanes and Facilities In the 5-County Region	34
<b>Section 8: Economic Development</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Section 9: Funding</b>	<b>37</b>
Federal and State Funding	37
Future Funding For the 5-County Region	37

Tax-Increment Based Mechanisms	38	<b>Section 14: Key Corridors</b>	<b>63</b>
Special Tax Assessment Mechanisms	38	I-35	65
Development Impact Based Mechanisms	38	I-70	69
<b>Section 10: Peer Cities</b>	<b>39</b>	I-435 East-West	73
Peer City Characteristics	39	I-435 North-South	77
Transportation System Management Lessons From Peer Cities	40	I-635/I-35/US-69	81
Transportation Demand Management Lessons From Peer Cities	42	US-24/40	85
Capacity Lessons From Peer Cities	42	US-56	87
<b>Section 11: Transportation Strategies Toolbox</b>	<b>45</b>	K-5	91
5-County Regional Transportation Study Phase 1	45	K-7/US-73/US-169	93
Congestion Management Plan Process	45	K-10	97
Strategy Description	45	K-68	101
Applying the Toolbox	46	K-92/M-92/I-29	105
Toolbox Strategies	46	175th St./199th St./223rd St.	107
Transportation System Management (TSM) Strategies	47	Metcalfe Avenue	111
Travel Demand Management (TDM) Strategies	48	Shawnee Mission Parkway	115
Increased Capacity Strategies	48	State Avenue	117
Conclusions	49	Western Johnson County North-South Arterial	121
<b>Section 12: Regional Framework for Decision Making</b>	<b>51</b>	Potential Outer Loop	123
Framework for Investment Decisions	51	<b>Section 15: Findings and Conclusions</b>	<b>127</b>
Recommended Practices	51	Key Points From the Report	127
Maintain Existing Facilities and Services	51		
Manage Travel Demand and Operation of the Transportation System	51		
Add New Capacity	52		
Implementing the Framework	52		
<b>Section 13: Recommended Strategies</b>	<b>53</b>		
Evaluation Process	53		
Recommended Strategies	54		
Future Outlook for Roadways	55		

# Acknowledgments

The 5-County Regional Transportation Study was guided by a Core Team of staff from the Study Sponsors and received significant input from the Stakeholder Advisory Panel, the Corridor Strategies Working Group, the Travel Demand Model Technical Committee, and County Commission chairs.

## STUDY SPONSORS

Kansas Department of Transportation, Bureau of Transportation Planning  
 Mid-America Regional Council  
 Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization

## CORE TEAM

Thomas Dow, KDOT Project Director  
 David Gurss, KDOT Project Manager  
 Steve Baalman, KDOT  
 Hugh Bogle, KDOT  
 Earl Bosak, KDOT  
 David Cronister, KDOT  
 Eddie Dawson, KDOT  
 Tom Gerend, MARC  
 Todd Girdler, Lawrence-Douglas County MPO  
 Mell Henderson, MARC  
 John Maddox, KDOT  
 Davonna Moore, KDOT  
 Burt Morey, KDOT  
 Jim Pickett, KDOT  
 Josh Powers, KDOT  
 Kimberly Qualls, KDOT  
 David Schwartz, KDOT  
 Joel Skelley, KDOT

## STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY PANEL

Sean Adams, Bright Logistics  
 Steve Baru, Sierra Club/Johnson County Park and Recreation Board  
 Cindy Cash, Kansas City, KS Chamber of Commerce  
 Rita Cassida, City of Louisburg  
 Bernice Duletski, Johnson County  
 Mike Gaughan, Douglas County Commission  
 Elaine Giessel, League of Women Voters  
 Lisa Harris, Lawrence-Douglas County Planning Commission  
 Steve Jack, Leavenworth County Development Corporation  
 Scott Miller, City of Leavenworth  
 Steve Petrehn, MARC Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Committee  
 Penny Postoak Ferguson, Johnson County  
 George Pretz, Miami County Commission/Kansas Farm Bureau  
 Kise Randall, Kessinger/Hunter & Company, LLC  
 Marge Vogt, City of Olathe, City Council

## CORRIDOR STRATEGIES WORKING GROUP

Alice Amrein, Johnson County Transit  
 Doug Brown, City of Overland Park  
 Keith Browning, Douglas County  
 Dale Crawford, KanBikeWalk, Inc.  
 Emerick Cross, Unified Government of Wyandotte County Transit  
 Laura Elkins, Leavenworth Council on Aging  
 Bill Heatherman, Unified Government of Wyandotte County  
 David Jacobson, Kansas Turnpike Authority  
 Dick Jarrold, KCATA  
 Ben Jones, Union Pacific  
 Rev. Bobby Love, Second Baptist Church of Olathe/More2  
 Scott McCullough, City of Lawrence  
 Eric McDonald, Storage Solutions  
 Bob Nugent, Lawrence Transit  
 Dean Palos, Johnson County  
 Erik Pollom, Miami County Planning  
 Rob Richardson, Unified Government of Wyandotte County  
 Patrick Robinson, The Allen Group  
 Michael Spickelmier, Leavenworth County  
 Nolan Sunderman, City of Lansing

## TRAVEL DEMAND MODEL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Paul Bertrand, GBA  
 David Cronister, KDOT  
 Andrew Coe, Parsons Brinckerhoff  
 Jamie Gilbert, GBA  
 Todd Girdler, Lawrence-Douglas County MPO  
 Charles Gorugantula, MARC  
 Jeff Joseph, Leavenworth County  
 Christopher Kinzel, HDR  
 Alonzo Linan, City of Olathe  
 Dean Palos, Johnson County  
 David Peel, Johnson County  
 Erik Pollom, Miami County  
 Steve Ruegg, Parsons Brinckerhoff  
 David Schwartz, KDOT  
 Mandy Siemon, GBA  
 Kip Strauss, HNTB  
 Jinghua Xu, Parsons Brinckerhoff

## CONSULTANT TEAM

Parsons Brinckerhoff  
 Olsson Associates  
 Shockey Consulting